

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 GENEVA 02580 261306Z

53

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 IO-14 ISO-00 DODE-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 SS-20 NSC-07 OIC-04 CU-04 DRC-01 /121 W

----- 074361

R 261020Z APR 74

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5370

INFO AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS UNN

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LISBON

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

C O N F I D E N T I A L GENEVA 2580

VIENNA FOR USDEL MABRF

EO 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR

SUBJ: CSCE: EC-NINE DRAFT RESOLUTION ON FOLLOW-UP

REF: A. USNATO 2161

B. STATE 084272

1. NATO DELEGATION HEADS' CAUCUS APRIL 22 APPROVED

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 GENEVA 02580 261306Z

TABLING OF EC-NINE DRAFT RESOLUTION ON FOLLOW-UP (REF A.)

AT NEXT MEETING OF FOLLOW-UP WORKING GROUP APRIL 29.  
US REP GAVE DRAFT RESOLUTION GENERAL SUPPORT, PER REF B.  
DURING DISCUSSION, FOLLOWING POINTS EMERGED, WHICH MAY  
BE OF INTEREST TO DEPARTMENT IN CONNECTION WITH ITS  
ANALYSIS OF THE DRAFT RESOLUTION.

2. INTERIM PERIOD INDICATED IN DRAFT RESOLUTION BEFORE  
MEETING OF "HIGH OFFICIALS", (ABOUT THREE YEARS), IS  
MIDDLE GROUND ON WHICH NINE WERE ABLE TO AGREE. IT  
REPRESENTS A NEGOTIATING POSITION, AND SEVERAL FALLBACK  
POSITIONS ARE PRESENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION. PRINCIPAL  
FALLBACK OPTION WOULD BE AGREEMENT TO HOLD MEETING OF  
"HIGH OFFICIALS" IN 1976 INSTEAD OF 1977. ALTERNATIVELY,  
SOME KIND OF MEETING COULD BE HELD MIDWAY THROUGH THE  
INTERIM PERIOD. IF THE NINE FALL BACK TO 1976, THEY  
PRESENTLY SEE NO NEED FOR A MEETING MIDWAY THROUGH THE  
INTERIM PERIOD. ANOTHER POSSIBILITY, ADVANCED BY FRENCH  
REP, WOULD BE TO HAVE MEETINGS "BEFORE THE FIRST MEETING"  
OF HIGH OFFICIALS. THESE MEETINGS WOULD BE "TO BEGIN  
TO REVIEW THE RESULTS OF THE CONFERENCE", AND COULD BE  
AT THE "HIGH EXPERTS" LEVEL.

3. SEVERAL NON-NINE NATO DELEGATIONS (NORWAY, PORTUGAL,  
CANADA) BELIEVE THE INTERIM PERIOD PROPOSED BY THE NINE  
IS TOO LONG. THESE DELEGATIONS AGREED TO TABLING OF THE  
DRAFT RESOLUTION, BUT WILL BE LOOKING FORWARD TO FALLBACK  
POSITIONS MORE IN LINE WITH THEIR OWN VIEWS. NORWAY AND  
PORTUGAL ALSO FAVOR SOME COMMITMENT TO PERIODIC MEETINGS.

4. THE NINE ARE AWARE THAT A MANDATE FOR ASSESSING  
"THE STATE OF RELATIONS BETWEEN THE PARTICIPATING  
STATES IN GENERAL", AS PROPOSED IN DRAFT DECLARATION,  
(PARA 2A OF REF A.) COULD OPEN THE DOOR TO A DIS-  
CUSSION OF GENERAL POLITICAL QUESTIONS, AND POSSIBLY  
EVEN TO PRESENTATION OF SPECIFIC BILATERAL PROBLEMS.  
THEY HAVE APPARENTLY DECIDED TO USE THIS LANGUAGE IN  
SPITE OF THIS CONSIDERATION. THE NINE BELIEVE THE EASTERN  
COUNTRIES WILL ENERGETICALLY OPPOSE PHRASEOLOGY ON ASSES-  
SMENT OF "HOW DECISIONS OF THE CSCE HAVE BEEN CARRIED OUT"  
(PARA 2A OF REF A.) SINCE IT WOULD IMPLY SURVEILLANCE OF  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 GENEVA 02580 261306Z

BASKET III AGREEMENTS.

5. REFERENCE TO "WORKING PROCEDURES" INSTEAD OF "RULES  
OF PROCEDURE" (PARA 3 OF REF A.) IS INTENTIONALLY AMBIGU-  
OUS. DANISH REP IN DEFENDING THIS PHRASE SAID THE NINE  
THROUGH THE "MAIN PILLARS" OF CSCE PROCEDURES, SUCH AS  
CONSENSUS, WOULD BE APPLICABLE TO MEETING OF HIGH OFFI-  
CIALS, BUT SOME CSCE RULES OF PROCEDURE MIGHT NOT BE.

HE HEDGED WHEN ASKED IF PARTICIPATION WAS CONSIDERED A "MAIN PILLAR" OF PROCEDURE, BUT LATER EXPLAINED PRIVATELY THAT THIS WAS ONLY BECAUSE EC COMMISSION MIGHT LATER BE GIVEN LARGER ROLE.

6. THE NINE BELIEVE THE LEVEL OF MEETING OF "HIGH OFFICIALS" WOULD BE ROUGHLY THE SAME AS THAT OF PRESENT CSCE DELEGATION HEADS; EASTERN COUNTRIES WOULD SEND VICE MINISTERS, WHILE WEST WOULD SEND AMBASSADORS.

7. USDEL COMMENT: THIS CAUCUS DISCUSSION HAS BROUGHT OUT CERTAIN AMBIGUITIES IN THE NINE'S DRAFT RESOLUTION WHICH CALL FOR CAREFUL EXAMINATION. DEPARTMENT MAY WISH TO CONSIDER WHETHER MANDATE FOR ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL STATE OF RELATIONS AMONG PARTICIPATING STATES CONSTITUTES POLITICAL-SECURITY MANDATE WE HAVE THUS FAR HOPED TO AVOID. SELECTIVE APPROACH TO CSCE RULES OF PROCEDURE MAY ALSO POSE PROBLEMS. PRESENT RULES ARE ACCEPTED BY ALL PARTICIPANTS BUT ANY ATTEMPT TO USE SOME AND NOT OTHERS WOULD OPEN NEW DISCUSSION OF PROCEDURES AS A WHOLE. END USDEL COMMENT.

DALE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** AGREEMENT DRAFT, POLITICAL LEADERS, COLLECTIVE SECURITY AGREEMENTS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 26 APR 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** golinofr  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974GENEVA02580  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D740099-0489  
**From:** GENEVA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740412/aaaaajvx.tel  
**Line Count:** 137  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** A. USNATO 2161  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** golinofr  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 21 MAR 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <21 MAR 2002 by collinp0>; APPROVED <07 MAY 2002 by golinofr>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** CSCE: EC-NINE DRAFT RESOLUTION ON FOLLOW-UP  
**TAGS:** PFOR, XG, CSCE, EEC  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005